

Kathy Winings, Unification Theological Seminary, [academics@uts.edu](mailto:academics@uts.edu)  
Chris J. Antal, Soldier's Heart, [chris@soldiersheart.net](mailto:chris@soldiersheart.net)  
REA Annual Meeting, November 7-9, 2014

## Moral Injury, Soul Repair, and Creating a Place for Grace

### Abstract

Government estimates indicate that there are approximately 2.3 million veterans from the Iraq and Afghanistan war in the U.S. currently. Over 20% of these veterans, estimates continue, have PTSD and/or depression. Veterans also suffer from substance abuse, homelessness, family problems and many are unemployed. In addition, the suicide rate for these veterans is 5,000 a year. Though wars have been fought throughout human history, we are actually only now beginning to understand the true impact of war and violence on those called to fight and those for whom they fight. These men and women have been taught to be violent. Yet when they return home, they are not taught how to unlearn violence. Unmaking violence is hard to do when our veterans are reliving their experiences of violence daily.

Ministers and religious education attempt to assist the veterans to reintegrate into their communities and provide them and their families with pastoral care. However, we are just now beginning to realize that they have injuries that are harder to see. The terms that have been used to describe these injuries include moral injury, moral pain and soul wound. Therefore, in order for religious educators to have a more profound impact on our students, the field of peace studies and education for nonviolence and those preparing for military chaplaincy, it is important to understand moral injury and identify resources religious traditions, communities, and leaders bring to the tending of the moral wounds of war in individuals, families and societies.

Utilizing the vantage points and experience of religious education and military chaplaincy this paper is organized around two main sections. The first section discusses the psychological, theological and ethical dimensions of moral injury in conjunction with how it aids the perpetuation of violence both within the individual and between the individual, their families and communities.

The second major section will discuss the role that religious education can play in order to support the healing and recovery of our veterans and our communities as well as genuinely teaching how to unmake violence.

#### I. Defining the Basic Concepts

The increasing number of returning veterans in our churches and communities signals a growing need for clergy and religious educators to assess the pastoral concerns and requirements that

these veterans and their families may be facing. It also presents an opportunity to initiate a more serious discussion of the moral and theological issues that accompany war and global violence. In doing so, it is important to first name and understand the central issues.

### A. Moral Injury

In an effort to more accurately diagnose what could be the major cause of suicide among American veterans returning from Afghanistan, Iraq and even Vietnam, professionals across multiple disciplines – including clinical psychologists, social workers, Christian ethicists and clergy – are using with greater frequency the phrase “moral injury.”<sup>1</sup> “PTSD, as officially defined, is rarely what wrecks veterans’ lives or crushes them to suicide,” writes career Veterans Affairs psychiatrist Jonathan Shay, “Moral injury does both.”<sup>2</sup> Military professionals now warn of the real danger of “spiritual and moral trauma” and advocate “education about moral injury and its relationship to spirituality and stress” and “development of spiritual fitness” to help “mitigate moral injury.”<sup>3</sup>

A shift of focus away from the language of “disorder” to the language of “moral injury” presents both “a challenge and a call to spiritual leaders.”<sup>4</sup> Medical professionals admit they cannot adequately address what they are calling “moral injury,” and are reaching out to religious leaders and communities for help, acknowledging “religious and cultural therapies are not only possible,

---

<sup>1</sup> For studies from clinical psychologists and social workers, see: Brett T. Litz, Nathan Stein, Eileen Delaney, Leslie Lebowitz, William P. Nash, Caroline Silva, and Shira Maguen, “Moral Injury and Moral Repair in War Veterans: A Preliminary Model and Intervention Strategy,” *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29.8 (2009): 695-706; William P. Nash and Brett T. Litz, “Moral Injury: a Mechanism for War-Related Psychological Trauma in Military Family Members,” *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 16.4 (2013): 365-75; for interdisciplinary studies from medical and religious professionals see: Kent D. Drescher, David Foy, Caroline Kelly, Anna Leshner, Kerrie Schutz, and Brett Litz, “An Exploration of the Viability and Usefulness of the Construct of Moral Injury in War Veterans,” *Traumatology*, 17.1 (2011): 8-13; Eileen A. Dombo, Cathleen Gray, and Barbara P. Early, “The Trauma of Moral Injury: Beyond the Battlefield,” *Journal of Religion & Spirituality in Social Work*, 32.3 (2013): 197-210; C.T. Tran, E. Kuhn, R.D. Walser, and Kent D. Drescher, “The Relationship between Religiosity, PTSD, and Depressive Symptoms in Veterans in PTSD Residential Treatment,” *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, 40.4 (2012): 313-322; Kent D. Drescher, Jason A. Nieuwsma, and Pamela Swales, “Morality and Moral Injury: Insights from Theology and Health Science,” *Reflective Practice: Formation and Supervision in Ministry*, 33 (2013): 50-61; for studies from Christian ethicists and clergy, see: Warren Kinghorn, “Combat Trauma and Moral Fragmentation: A Theological Account of Moral Injury,” *Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics*, 32.2 (2012): 57-74; Beth A. Stallinga, “What Spills Blood Wounds Spirit: Chaplains, Spiritual Care, and Operational Stress Injury,” *Reflective Practice: Formation and Supervision in Ministry*, 33 (2013): 13-31.

<sup>2</sup> Jonathan Shay, “Moral Injury,” *Intertexts*, 16.1 (2012): 58. While not using the phrase “moral injury,” Larry Dewey made a similar observation in identifying “grief and guilt, not fear of death” as the core of the problem. See Larry Dewey, *War and redemption: Treatment and recovery in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder* (Aldershot, Hants, England: Ashgate, 2004), 109.

<sup>3</sup> See: David J. Hufford, Matthew J. Fritz, and Jeffrey E. Rhodes, “Spiritual Fitness,” *Military Medicine*, 175 (2010): 73, 85; see also: Patrick J. Sweeney, Jeffrey E. Rhodes, and Bruce Boling, “Spiritual Fitness: A Key Component of Total Force Fitness,” *National Defense University Press*, 66 (2012): 35-36.

<sup>4</sup> Gabriella Lettini, “Engaging the Moral Injuries of War: A Call to Spiritual Leaders,” *Reflective Practice: Formation and Supervision in Ministry*, (2013), 37-47.

but may well be superior to what mental health professionals conventionally offer.”<sup>5</sup> Yet in order to provide competent help, religious leaders and communities need education. Effective religious education can equip clergy and faith communicators to speak and act with greater pastoral authority in addressing moral injury and its root causes, moving beyond the constraints of the medical model, in order to meet the real needs of war-torn individuals and society.

Since the language of “moral injury” has emerged out of the medical community, let us begin with the definitions of moral injury from that community. Although efforts to name the hidden wounds of war go back to ancient times, Jonathan Shay, who spent decades working with combat veterans, was the first to use “moral injury” He defines moral injury as “a betrayal of what’s right” and considers the injury “an essential part of any combat trauma that leads to lifelong psychological injury.”<sup>6</sup> Brett Litz, a Veterans Affairs psychologist, builds upon Shay by defining potentially “morally injurious” experiences as “*Perpetrating, failing to prevent, bearing witness to, or learning about acts that transgress deeply held moral beliefs and expectations* (italics theirs).”<sup>7</sup> Shay responded to Litz with further clarification of what he means by “moral injury” in a way he says “complements Litz, but differs in the “who” of the violator.” His revised version includes three components:

- 1) Betrayal of what’s right
- 2) By someone who holds legitimate authority (in the military – a leader)
- 3) In a high stakes situation.<sup>8</sup>

The fundamental distinction between moral injury and PTSD is in the core emotion: moral injury is based in *shame* and *guilt* whereas PTSD is rooted in an overwhelming experience of *fear*. Litz further distinguishes moral injury from PTSD noting that “anguish, guilt and shame are signs of an intact conscience” and that the existence of moral injury indicates healthy “expectations” about “goodness, humanity and justice.”<sup>9</sup> Rita Nakashima-Brock and Gabriella Lettini contend, “Veterans with moral injury have souls in anguish, not a psychological disorder.”<sup>10</sup> Edward Tick

---

<sup>5</sup> Quoted in Jonathan Shay, *Odysseus in america: Combat trauma and the trials of homecoming* (New York: Scribner, 2002), 152; see also Shira Maguen and Brett Litz, “Moral Injury in Veterans of War,” *PTSD Research Quarterly*, 23:1 (2012):1-3, <http://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/newsletters/research-quarterly/v23n1.pdf>, retrieved December 11, 2013; see also Larry Dewey, *War and redemption: Treatment and recovery in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder* (Aldershot, Hants, England: Ashgate, 2004), 200.

<sup>6</sup> Jonathan Shay *Achilles in vietnam: Combat trauma and the undoing of character* (New York: Atheneum, 1994), 20.

<sup>7</sup> Litz et al., 700.

<sup>8</sup> Shay, *Intertexts*, p. 59.

<sup>9</sup> Litz et al., 701.

<sup>10</sup> Rita Nakashima-Brock and Gabriella Lettini, *Soul repair: Recovering from moral injury after war* (Boston: Beacon Press, 2013), 51.

rejects PTSD as a diagnostic label, initially preferring “identity disorder” and “soul disorder” and most recently “social disorder” and soul wound.”<sup>11</sup>

In other words, moral injury is not a personality disorder but rather a wound suffered by a self-reflective and conscientious moral agent. As such, moral injury is best understood as the inevitable outcome of moral engagement with the harsh reality of war and killing. Understood in this way, should religious leaders really seek to “mitigate moral injury” and find ways to “prevent” or even “treat” it? Nakashima-Brock and Lettini offer this well-placed critique of the spiritual fitness dimension of the U.S. Army’s Comprehensive Soldier Fitness initiative: “it seems to glorify soldiers as spiritually fit who can remain unaffected in any deep moral or emotional way.”<sup>12</sup> “Veterans who experience moral injury testify to human capacities for empathy and to the resilience and persistence of moral teaching,” writes Lettini.<sup>13</sup> “A person of good character feels moral pain—call it guilt, shame, anguish, remorse—after doing something that caused another person suffering, injury, or death, even if entirely accidental or unavoidable,” writes Shay.<sup>14</sup> If we define spiritual fitness to include the capacity for empathy, moral engagement, and all the rest that good character entails then it would seem moral injury is *directly*, not *inversely*, proportional to spiritual fitness. Moral injury is a hopeful sign we are no longer on the “road to ruin” that David Grossman warned us about in *On Killing: The Psychological Cost of Learning to Kill in War and Society*; but rather on “the road home” toward “the resensitization of America.”<sup>15</sup>

Evidence based research indicates moral disengagement aids in the perpetuation of violence both within the individual and between the individual and their wider relationships. Albert Bandura, a pioneer in the field of research on moral disengagement, has established the high costs of such disengagement: “It contributes to social discordance in ways that are likely to lead down dissocial paths. High moral disengagers experience low guilt over injurious conduct. They are less prosocial. They are quick to resort to aggression and transgressive conduct.”<sup>16</sup> Despite these costs, the U.S. Military systematically trains soldiers to morally disengage to produce more efficient killers. Admittedly, some soldiers may need to morally disengage and embrace what the psychologist Daniel Goleman calls “vital lies” to cope with the anxiety inherent in combat.<sup>17</sup> But

---

<sup>11</sup> Edward Tick, 2005. *War and the soul: healing our nation's veterans from post-traumatic stress disorder*. (Wheaton, Ill: Quest Books), 5; Edward Tick, “PTSD: The Sacred Wound,” *Health Progress*, 94.3 (May-June 2013): 14-22.

<sup>12</sup> Nakashima-Brock, 101.

<sup>13</sup> Lettini, *Reflective Practice*, 44.

<sup>14</sup> Shay, *Odysseus*, 112. When Shay writes of “Preventing Psychological and Moral Injury in Military Service” his audience is military leadership, not the clergy.

<sup>15</sup> Dave Grossman, *On killing* (Boston: Little, Brown, 1995), 328-330.

<sup>16</sup> Albert Bandura, “Selective Moral Disengagement in the Exercise of Moral Agency,” *Journal of Moral Education* 31 (2002): 115.

<sup>17</sup> See generally, Daniel Goleman, *Vital lies, simple truths: the psychology of self-deception* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1985).

a veteran who remains morally disengaged never returns home. As Shay notes, “To really *be home* means to be emotionally present and engaged.”<sup>18</sup>

A pastoral intervention rightly directed toward human wholeness ought neither mitigate or prevent moral injury but rather accept it, honor it, and perhaps even celebrate it as the “sacred wound” that it is.<sup>19</sup> From the perspective of the religious leader, fostering moral reflection on killing and war, even if it means bearing moral injury, is better than condoning a military and society that is morally disengaged and desensitized from the unsavory business of killing. “If we must have a military at all it *should hurt*,” (italics his) wrote M. Scott Peck in *People of the Lie: The Hope for Healing Human Evil*, after resigning as Assistant Chief of Psychiatry and Neurology Consultant to the Surgeon General of the U.S. Army during the American War in Vietnam.<sup>20</sup> Peck argues that if we refuse to acknowledge the harmful consequences of our war-fighting – confess our own “sin” – and live in a state of moral disengagement, psychic numbing, denial and self-deception as “people of the lie,” then “as a whole people we will become ... evil.”<sup>21</sup> Reinhold Niebuhr calls this the “final sin,” that is, “the unwillingness to hear the word of judgment spoken against our sin.”<sup>22</sup>

Perhaps, then, the most important task of religious leaders and educators is to move a culture that is sin and guilt-averse into a new way of seeing that values moral engagement, and the resulting moral pain and injury, as critical for the moral development of individuals and society. If we understand guilt as Niebuhr does, “the objective and historical consequence of sin,” then a pastoral intervention must both tend the guilt and expose the root sin.<sup>23</sup> “If guilt is not experienced deeply enough to cut into us, our future may well be lost,” writes one combat veteran reflecting on the carnage of World War II.<sup>24</sup> “If a nation, seeking peace and security forgets its own conscience or the judgment of God upon it, the nation loses its soul,” writes another.<sup>25</sup> In order to foster this development, religious educators should learn and teach (1) the distinction between shame and guilt since moral injury that is shame, rather than guilt-based is maladaptive and contributes to acting-in and acting-out violence towards self and others;<sup>26</sup> and (2) how to tend the guilt that is essential to moral injury, the kind of guilt psychologists have

---

<sup>18</sup> Shay, *Odysseus*, 39.

<sup>19</sup> Edward Tick, “PTSD: The Sacred Wound,” *Health Progress*, 94.3 (May-June 2013): 14-22.

<sup>20</sup> M. Scott Peck, *People of the lie: The hope for healing human evil* (New York: Touchstone, 1983), 232.

<sup>21</sup> *Ibid.*, 233.

<sup>22</sup> Reinhold Niebuhr, *The nature and destiny of man: A christian interpretation* (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1964), 219.

<sup>23</sup> *Ibid.*, 222.

<sup>24</sup> J. Glenn Gray, *The Warriors: Reflections on Men in Battle* (New York: Harper and Row, 1967), 212.

<sup>25</sup> Roger Lincoln Shinn, *Beyond this darkness; what the events of our time have meant to christians who face the future* (New York: Association Press, 1946), 57.

<sup>26</sup> June P. Tangney, Jeff Stuewig, and Deborah J. Mashek, “Moral emotions and moral behavior,” *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58 (2007): 350.

found “goes hand in hand with other-oriented empathy” is “adaptive” and “benefiting individuals and relationships in a variety of ways.”<sup>27</sup>

## B. Theological Dimensions

Talk of sin, evil and redemption moves us beyond the constraints of the medical construct of moral injury into a dimension that integrates the insights of theology and the resources of religious traditions, and this is exactly where religious educators can make their greatest contribution. Warren Kinghorn contends moral injury “is an important and useful clinical construct” but the phenomenon it attempts to name “beckons beyond the structural constraints of contemporary psychology toward something like moral theology” or “penitential theology.”<sup>28</sup> Kinghorn says Christian moral theology, which has to do with Christian character and conduct, offers a “depth of context to moral injury that clinical psychology cannot” which makes the psychological construct (Litz et al, Shay) “unhelpfully limiting.”

Kinghorn considers the advent of “moral injury” within the literature of combat trauma “a very welcome development” because it “forces critical analysis of the relationship between combat trauma and the moral agency of the acting soldier.” He says, the focus on agency is helpful in three ways: (1) Combat trauma can no longer be understood apart from “a sociocultural matrix of language and meaning and valuation” which Kinghorn says, “resonates with Christian affirmations of the embodied, relational, responsible self.”; (2) Combat trauma understood as moral injury “forces a more complex account of human agency than is often displayed in cultural conversations about combat trauma.”; (3) Moral injury reminds us that “traumatic effects of war on soldiers and civilians cannot be separated from the more theoretical considerations of war’s moral justifiability, and vice versa.” The benefit of this to Christian communities, he writes, is that “moral injury can call Christian ethics out of abstract arguments about just war and pacifism toward closer consideration of the concrete psychological and individual costs of war.”<sup>29</sup>

For Kinghorn, the moral injury construct offered by Litz and Shay is nothing more than the “transgression of a soldier’s own internalized rules and assumptions.” Out of such a construct, he argues, the clinicians’ response to the suffering of veterans is sadly inadequate. We are left with “therapeutic instrumentalism” or “the use of technique to relieve suffering.” These techniques, he says, have great “moral zeal” but are constrained by “teleological silence.”

They cannot pass judgment on the validity of the moral rules and assumptions that individual soldiers carry, since to do so would be to venture into the ethics of war. They

---

<sup>27</sup> Ibid.

<sup>28</sup> Warren Kinghorn, “Combat Trauma and Moral Fragmentation: A Theological Account of Moral Injury,” *Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics*, 32.2 (2012): 57, 62.

<sup>29</sup> Ibid., 62-63.

also cannot name any deeper reality that moral assumptions and the rules that engender them might reflect.<sup>30</sup>

A more accurate diagnosis of a person suffering combat trauma, Kinghorn says, is “moral fragmentation of a teleological whole.” In response to this, he says, Christians, through pastoral and congregational care, can provide what psychiatrists and psychologists cannot: reconciliation to God and community. Such reconciliation, he says, “calls for the interlocking practices of patience, of confession, and forgiveness.”<sup>31</sup>

Unlike the clinical disciplines, Christians can name the moral trauma of war not simply as psychological dissonance but as a tragic and perhaps *even sinful* reminder that the peace of God is still not yet a fully present reality (*italics mine*).<sup>32</sup>

To arrive at a pastoral diagnosis of the problem facing returning veterans, we need to wrestle with the word *sin* and be open to the possibility of “recovering a sense of sin.”<sup>33</sup> This point was made more than a quarter-century ago by William Mahedy, who served as a chaplain in Vietnam. “I believe the essential failure of the chaplaincy in Vietnam was its inability to name the reality for what it was. We should have first called it sin, admitted we were in a morally ambiguous and religiously tenuous situation, and then gone on to deal with the harsh reality of the soldier’s life.”<sup>34</sup> Could “moral injury” amount to nothing more than a euphemism for sin, or at least, the consequence of sin? One marine combat veteran dismisses moral injury “as deceptive a euphemism as collateral damage.”<sup>35</sup> Kinghorn reminds us, “the language of “wound” and “sickness” is deeply rooted in Christian speech about sin, particularly in the Eastern tradition.”<sup>36</sup> Church history reveals times when Christians imposed penance on soldiers returning from battle, even when the battle was just and the soldiers fought justly.<sup>37</sup> Religious educators who disable the insulating walls and confront the vital lies that shield us from our complicity in war and killing may not alleviate suffering, but may very well redeem us from sin and deliver us from evil.

---

<sup>30</sup> Ibid., 67.

<sup>31</sup> Ibid., 67.

<sup>32</sup> Ibid., 70.

<sup>33</sup> Bernard Verkamp, “Recovering a sense of sin,” *America*, 149.16 (November 1983), 305.

<sup>34</sup> William P. Mahedy, *Out of the night: The spiritual journey of vietnam vets* (New York: Ballantine Books, 1986), 135.

<sup>35</sup> Timothy Kudo, “I killed people in Afghanistan: Was I right or wrong,” *Washington Post*, 25 January 2013.

<sup>36</sup> Kinghorn, 65.

<sup>37</sup> Bernard Verkamp, *The moral treatment of returning warriors*, 18-19; Darrell Cole, “Just war, penance, and the church,” *Pro Ecclesia* 11, no. 3 (2002): 313-328. ATLA Religion Database with ATLASerials, EBSCOhost (accessed December 14, 2013): 314.

It is one thing to talk about moral injury from the perspective of medicine and theology and the challenges it presents for not only our veterans and their families but for our communities as well. It is another to consider how we might constructively and effectively address it, for their sake and for the sake of our communities. Religious education has the opportunity to address these challenges posed by moral injury and soul wound on the educational and pastoral levels.

## II. Possibilities for Religious Education in the Unmaking of Violence

### A. Creating a Space for Grace

What can we as religious educators contribute? One important response is to create a space for grace. A challenge veterans face is to feel God's grace again as they try to distinguish shame from guilt. It is all the more difficult for them because the very training they received that helped ensure their survival in combat also played into their feelings of guilt and shame. The tough stance they learned makes it hard for them to let go of what they are feeling. But a space in which God's grace is present and experienced offers support that enables them to let go and begin the healing process. How do we do this? We need create a space "safe for the soul."<sup>38</sup> Confession and forgiveness contribute to such a space.

[Chris]: Confession is just one among many classic spiritual disciplines that religious leaders and educators can employ and teach about to tend moral injury and reconcile the morally wounded to God and community.<sup>39</sup> In my own experience as a military chaplain in Afghanistan I found the discipline of confession vital for my own well-being as well as for the soldiers in my care. The story of one soldier, "Angelito," illustrates well how confession can open the path towards forgiveness and reconciliation in community.<sup>40</sup> Angelito came to me at my office on a military base in southern Afghanistan burdened with guilt and shame. "I hate myself," he told me. When he arrived at my door I learned he had come to me as a last resort. He had tried it all - PTSD counselors, anger management, medications, alcohol, but nothing would ease the pain. He was preparing to shoot himself in the head. I asked Angelito what he wanted and he replied "peace" yet finding the peace of God in this world seemed to him an impossible dream; suicide seemed the best option. Over the ensuing weeks, I built a relationship of trust with Angelito and he eventually felt safe enough to tell me his story. His unit had shot a civilian and then passed by all the while shouting obscenities as the man bled to death in front of his wife and children. The image of the blood and the sounds of the children screaming haunted Angelito. "I betrayed my true self," he told me. His father had taught him to be compassionate, like the Good Samaritan in

---

<sup>38</sup>See Parker J. Palmer, *A hidden wholeness: The journey toward an undivided life: Welcoming the soul and weaving community in a wounded world* (San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2004).

<sup>39</sup> See Richard J. Foster, *Celebration of discipline: the path to spiritual growth* (San Francisco: Harper & Row, 1988).

<sup>40</sup> Angelito authorized a release from privilege so I could share his story and his song for the lessons it holds for us all. You can find the song and my interview with him online at: <http://bamiyan.us/wordpress/?p=737>.

Jesus' parable, yet moral reflection on his behavior led him to conclude he did "the exact opposite" of what the Good Samaritan would have done. "My heart was so cold," he told me. "I'm a monster."

"Relationships with the missing and the dead, and with death itself, are at the core of the soul wound we call post-traumatic stress disorder," writes Tick.<sup>41</sup> As I listened to Angelito confess his story, I heard the shame and guilt characteristic of moral injury. In shooting a civilian and then disrespecting the dying man in front of his family -- "we flipped him off as we drove by" -- Angelito had ventured into two of the "four areas of pain and horror that are worse than killing enemy soldiers," and thus had broken "the Geneva Convention of the Soul."<sup>42</sup> Angelito confessed first to me, and then turned his confession into a song called "Driving By as I Watched You Bleed," that he performed publicly at my invitation before a religious community that had gathered one Sunday morning at Kandahar Airfield. The community heard Angelito without judgment and became a channel for him to experience forgiveness, mercy, and grace. In creating a song and dedicating it to the man he had helped kill, Angelito moved from the moral emotion of shame to appropriate guilt for real harm done, and ultimately to gratitude: "Thank you for being there in my life. Thank you for reminding me of who I need to be in the world." His relationship with the dead was transformed; the man was no longer a haunting phantom but part of his conscience. By the end of our time together, Angelito no longer hated himself and his broken soul was on the mend. While this intervention did help Angelito achieve post traumatic growth, it fell short of the demands of restorative justice, which requires tending the unmet needs of the traumatized widow and children, and all the others harmed in this incident.<sup>43</sup>

It is important that pastoral leaders and students recognize the value of confession for both the returning veteran and the congregation as a whole. As Foster describes it: "The Discipline of confession brings an end to pretense. God is calling into being a Church that can openly confess its frail humanity and know the forgiving and empowering graces of Christ. Honesty leads to confession, and confessions leads to change."<sup>44</sup> In addition, the congregation that is able to confess together with the veterans signals that it too is moving toward reconciliation together. Kinghorn sees this as a strength and advantage of the faith-based communities as opposed to the medical community. For Kinghorn, the veterans need:

. . . a community that can help them be forgiven when appropriate as well as to forgive the wrongs inflicted upon them in war. And they need a community that is able to own and to acknowledge its own violence, as embodied in the lives and actions of its soldiers,

---

<sup>41</sup> Tick, *War and the soul*, 140.

<sup>42</sup> Dewey, 74. The two areas are: "causing civilian casualties and killing while filled with hate, rage, or something like elation." The other two areas being: killing one's own men (aka friendly fire) and vigilante actions (aka battlefield justice).

<sup>43</sup> See generally, Howard Zehr, *The little book of restorative justice* (Intercourse, PA: Good Books, 2002).

<sup>44</sup> Foster, 157.

yet that is capable, with the veteran, of imagining a world in which violence is not ultimate and does not rule.<sup>45</sup>

As noted patience, confession and forgiveness are all essential to reconciling the morally wounded back to God and community. Without patience, we risk “premature forgiveness.” Shelly Rambo, in her book *Spirit and Trauma, a Theology of Remaining* suggests remaining with Holy Saturday, between death and life, instead of rushing to the joy of the resurrection on Easter Sunday.<sup>46</sup> The time of remaining is also the time when the community can face the tragedies of war, accept appropriate guilt for their complicity in war, and share the burden of responsibility with the veteran who served on behalf of the community. As Stanley Hauerwas writes:

A commitment to nonviolence rightly requires those who are so committed to recognize that we are as implicated in war as those who have gone to war or those who have supported war. The moral challenges of war is too important for us to play a game of who is and who is not guilty for past or future wars. We are all, pacifist and nonpacifist alike, guilty.<sup>47</sup>

By patiently *remaining* with Angelito I earned his trust, and on the foundation of that trust he was able to confess, experience appropriate guilt for real harm done, grow in empathy, begin working toward forgiveness, and make meaning out of tragedy.

## B. Education of the Wider Community

Equally important is the need to generate and foster theological reflection and examination by the veterans and the wider community on the larger fundamental issues and hard reality of war. This means asking the hard questions: Can war ever be “just”? Is war always collective sin? Is the act of killing by individuals in war sinful? Why must we as a peace-loving people rely on war for our sense of security? As men and women of God and as a nation that proclaims the centrality of God, can we continue to sanction killing on a national level? How do we view our returning veterans knowing some of the actions they had to commit? How do we make sense of the atrocities committed by our soldiers and atrocities committed by “the enemy”? These are questions that require discussion if our religious leaders and communities are to go beyond “therapeutic instrumentalism” and reclaim their pastoral authority. As Nakashima-Brock expresses it: “To treat veterans with respect means to examine our collective relationships to war with the same standards of courage and integrity veterans themselves have modeled.”<sup>48</sup>

---

<sup>45</sup> Kinghorn, 69.

<sup>46</sup> Shelly Rambo, *Spirit and trauma: A theology of remaining* (Louisville, Ky: Westminster John Knox Press, 2010).

<sup>47</sup> Stanley Hauerwas, *War and the american difference: Theological reflections on violence and national identity* (Grand Rapids, Mich: Baker Academic, 2011), ebook, location 167.

<sup>48</sup> Nakashima-Brock, 115.

Changes in weaponry, language and how we conduct a war also point toward the need for a public discussion and theological reflection. There is a danger of losing touch with the moral issues involved in war due to the use of medical clinical language that can be misleading. Talk of delivering a “surgical strike” or “eliminating the target” leads us to forget that the action will result in loss of life. We therefore stand to lose sight of the moral issues involved in war if there is no venue or forum in which to examine and reflect on these assumptions and issues.

As Nakashima-Brock offers: “Engaging in collective conversations about moral injury and war can help us all to strengthen the moral fabric of society and the connections that tie us to the rest of the world. Our collective engagement with moral injury will teach us more about the impact of our actions and choices on each other, enable us to see the world from other perspectives, and chart pathways for our future.”<sup>49</sup> The veterans need to see this level of conversation taking place. It is one thing for those going through therapy to bring these issues out in the open on an individual level as they deal with their own feelings of guilt and shame but the guilt and shame they may be experiencing is not confined just to them. They are part of a larger social context and network that had a hand in the decision to deploy the troops in the first place either directly or indirectly. Such conversations are the start of unlearning violence and learning peacemaking.

Immediately following the World Trade Center tragedy, the news was replete with stories of skirmishes and fighting that broke out in communities composed of diverse cultures and faiths. To a great extent, many of the conflicts were the result of inadequate, inaccurate or the lack of proper information and knowledge. It is relatively easy to demonize and dehumanize those whom we know little or nothing about or those whose customs differ from our own. It is much harder to do so when one has the appropriate knowledge and understanding of other faith traditions and cultures. Resources on inter-religious education and multicultural education are readily available to support coursework and public discussions in these areas.

## Conclusion

World War I was hailed as the “war to end all wars.” However, we unfortunately learned that this was not to be so. Since 1917, there have been numerous armed conflicts impacting millions of people. With each conflict, we have become more adept at waging war through new technology and new forms of warfare. But one thing has not changed: returning veterans who are left to pick up the pieces of their lives and to move on, family members who must deal with their loved ones and a public that struggles to make sense of it all.

On the other hand, our improved understanding of what returning veterans are dealing with through redefining this experience as moral injury and soul wound and the willingness of some to pose the larger questions of the moral rightness of war and global violence is a step in the right

---

<sup>49</sup> Nakashima-Brock, 119.

direction. What is needed now to complement and move this effort forward is a religious educational program. One dimension of this educational process involves creating a space for grace for both returning veterans and the wider community to heal and be reconciled with God. The second equally important educational dimension is a public conversation to discuss the real issues of war or, essentially how to unlearn violence and war. Religious education has the opportunity to initiate and guide this two-fold educational process. The question remains though, is there the will to do so?

## **Bibliography**

Antal, Chris J. "Interview with Angelito." 17 December 2012, Kandahar, Afghanistan. Audio download available at: <http://bamiyan.us/wordpress/?p=737>.

Bacevich, Andrew J. 2013. *Breach of trust: How americans failed their soldiers and their country*. New York: Metropolitan Books, Henry Holt and Company.

Bandura, Albert. 2002. "Selective Moral Disengagement in the Exercise of Moral Agency". *Journal of Moral Education*. 31 (2): 101-19.

Cole, Darrell. 2002. "Just war, penance, and the church." *Pro Ecclesia* 11, no. 3: 313-328. ATLA Religion Database with ATLASerials, EBSCOhost (accessed December 14, 2013).

Dewey, Larry. 2004. *War and redemption: Treatment and recovery in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder*. Aldershot, Hants, England: Ashgate.

Dombo, Eileen A. PhD LICSW, Cathleen PhD LICSW Gray, and Barbara P. PhD LCSW Early. 2013. "The Trauma of Moral Injury: Beyond the Battlefield". *Journal of Religion & Spirituality in Social Work: Social Thought*. 32 (3): 197-210.

Drescher, Kent, David Foy, Caroline Kelly, Anna Leshner, Kerrie Schutz, and Brett Litz. 2011. "An Exploration of the Viability and Usefulness of the Construct of Moral Injury in War Veterans". *Traumatology*. 17 (1): 8-13.

Foster, Richard J. 1988. *Celebration of discipline: The path to spiritual growth*. San Francisco: Harper & Row.

Goleman, Daniel. 1985. *Vital lies, simple truths: The psychology of self-deception*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- Gray, J. Glenn. 1967. *The warriors: Reflections on men in battle (introd. by hannah arendt)*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Grossman, Dave. 1995. *On killing: The psychological cost of learning to kill in war and society*. New York: Little, Brown.
- Hauerwas, Stanley. 2011. *War and the american difference: Theological reflections on violence and national identity*. Grand Rapids, Mich: Baker Academic.
- Herman, Judith Lewis. 1992. *Trauma and recovery*. [New York, N.Y.]: BasicBooks.
- Kinghorn, Warren. 2012. "Combat Trauma and Moral Fragmentation: A Theological Account of Moral Injury". *Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics*. 32 (2): 57-74.
- Litz, Brett T., Nathan Stein, Eileen Delaney, Leslie Lebowitz, William P. Nash, Caroline Silva, and Shira Maguen. 2009. "Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: A preliminary model and intervention strategy". *Clinical Psychology Review*. 29 (8): 695-706.
- Mahedy, William P. 1986. *Out of the night: The spiritual journey of vietnam vets*. New York: Ballantine Books.
- Nakashima-Brock, Rita, and Gabriella Lettini. 2012. *Soul repair: Recovering from moral injury after war*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Niebuhr, Reinhold. 1964. *The nature and destiny of man: a Christian interpretation. Vol.1, Vol.1*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Palmer, Parker J. 2004. *A hidden wholeness: the journey toward an undivided life : welcoming the soul and weaving community in a wounded world*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Peck, M. Scott. 1983. *People of the lie*. New York: Touchstone.
- Rambo, Shelly. 2010. *Spirit and trauma: a theology of remaining*. Louisville, Ky: Westminster John Knox Press.
- Shay, Jonathan. 2002. *Odysseus in America: combat trauma and the trials of homecoming*. New York: Scribner.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1994. *Achilles in Vietnam: combat trauma and the undoing of character*. New York: Atheneum.

- \_\_\_\_\_. 2012. "Moral Injury". *Intertexts*. 16 (1): 57-66.
- Sherman, Nancy. 2010. *The untold war: inside the hearts, minds, and souls of our soldiers*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Shinn, Roger Lincoln. 1946. *Beyond this darkness; what the events of our time have meant to Christians who face the future*. New York: Association Press.
- Stallinga, Beth A. "What Spills Blood Wounds Spirit: Chaplains, Spiritual Care, and Operational Stress Injury," *Reflective Practice: Formation and Supervision in Ministry*, 33 (2013): 13-31.
- Tangney, June, Jeffrey Stuewig, and Deborah J. Mashek. 2007. "Moral emotions and moral behavior." *Annual Review of Psychology*. 58: 345-72.
- Tedeschi, Richard G., Crystal L. Park, and Lawrence G. Calhoun. 1998. *Posttraumatic growth positive changes in the aftermath of crisis*. Mahwah, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Tick, Edward. 2005. *War and the soul: healing our nation's veterans from post-traumatic stress disorder*. Wheaton, Ill: Quest Books.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "PTSD: The Sacred Wound." *Health Progress*. 94.3 (May-June 2013): 14-22.
- Verkamp, Bernard J. 1993. *The moral treatment of returning warriors in early medieval and modern times*. Scranton: University of Scranton Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Recovering a sense of sin." *America*. 149.16 (November 1983): 305.
- Zehr, Howard. 2002. *The little book of restorative justice*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.